

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,408	03/12/2004	Tatsuzo Tomita	SUYE:004	7168	
75	90 06/15/2005		EXAM	INER	
ROSSI & ASSOCIATES			PAPE, JOSEPH		
P.O. Box 826	20146-0026		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ashburn, VA 20146-0826			3612		

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/800,408	TOMITA, TATSUZO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Pape	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)  accepted or b)  objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

# **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "panel flange inserted and joined between the pillar flange of the front pillar inner and the front pillar outer" in claim 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner,

the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The use of the term "cabinet" throughout the specification is unclear in that the term "cabin" is also used with no explanation of the difference in meaning. Also, the phrases "forward of vehicles", "rearward of vehicles" and "forward and rearward of vehicles" are unclear as used in the specification. On page 9, line 4, --to—should be inserted before "each".

Appropriate correction is required.

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on line 3, "pane" should be changed to –panel—for clarity. Lines 9-11 are awkwardly phrased. Also, the phrases "forward of vehicles", and "rearward of vehicles". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-8 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 1, line 4, it is unclear what is meant by the term "cabinet". On line 7, the phrase "rearward of vehicles" is awkward and unclear. Lines 10-11 as well as the last

Art Unit: 3612

three lines are awkwardly phrased. On line 12-13, the phrase "forward of vehicles" is awkward and unclear.

In claim 3, line 4-5, the phrase "forward and rearward of vehicles" is awkward and unclear.

In claim 4, the phrase "outward of vehicles" is awkward and unclear.

In claim 5, lines 5-6 are awkwardly phrased.

In claim 8, the phrases "forward of vehicles", "rearward of vehicles" and "forward and rearward of vehicles" are awkward and unclear. On the fifth line form the end, --to—should be inserted before "each".

Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - In claim 1, line 8, "proximal end" has no clear antecedent basis and it is not exactly clear to what the "end" is "proximal". Claim 8 has a similar instance of indefiniteness.

In claim 4, lines 4-5, "front end of the inside wall" and "rear wall" have no clear antecedent basis. On line 6, the recitation of a corner is unclear in that it is not tied to the mention of "bending" on line 4 which terms are believed to be related. In claim 5, line 5, "automobile" has no clear antecedent basis in that it is unclear whether it is the same as or different from that set forth in the preamble. On line 6, "dash panel front" has no clear antecedent basis.

# Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 1-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show constructions similar to that of the current invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is 571-272-6664.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,408

Art Unit: 3612

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jóseph D. Pape Primarv Examiner

Art Unit 3612

Jdp

June 9, 2005